

1 Jay Edelson  
jdelson@edelson.com  
2 Rafe S. Balabanian  
rbalabanian@edelson.com  
3 Benjamin H. Richman  
brichman@edelson.com  
4 Christopher L. Dore  
cdore@edelson.com  
5 EDELSON PC  
350 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1300  
6 Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Tel: 312.589.6370  
7 Fax: 312.589.6378

8 Marc P. Cook  
cnklawfirm@aol.com  
9 BAILUS COOK & KELESIS, LTD.  
517 South 9th Street  
10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: 702.737.7702

11 [Additional counsel included in signature block.]

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Beell and the Putative Class*

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 IN RE: FIRST NATIONAL COLLECTION  
16 BUREAU, INC. TELEPHONE CONSUMER  
17 PROTECTION ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION

MDL No. 2527

18 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
ALL CASES

Case No. 2:14-CV-557-KJD-CWH  
(Base File)

19 **JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN**

20 Plaintiffs Jessica Beell, Claudette Neal, Nanette Hunter, and Dennis Brown (“Plaintiffs”)  
21 and Defendant First National Collection Bureau, Inc. (collectively the “Parties”), by and through  
22 their respective counsel of record, following a June 9, 2014 conference of counsel, hereby submit  
23 this Joint Discovery Plan pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 and District of Nevada  
24 Local Rule 26-1.

1       **I. CATEGORIES UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f)**

2       **A. Rule 26(a) Disclosures**

3           The Parties will exchange consolidated Rule 26(a) disclosures no later than July 9, 2014.

4       **B. Subjects of Discovery**

5           The Parties ultimately anticipate needing discovery relating to the calls at issue in this case  
 6 and including, but not limited to, the equipment, hardware, and software utilized by Defendant  
 7 and/or its agents, as well as to Defendant's defenses to liability. The Parties also anticipate  
 8 discovery on issues related to the propriety of class certification in these consolidated cases. In  
 9 addition to oral and written discovery between the Parties, Plaintiffs anticipate that there will be a  
 10 need for third-party depositions as well as additional third-party discovery related to the calls at  
 11 issue in this case (e.g., discovery directed to Defendant's third-party service providers). The Parties  
 12 also anticipate discovery related to the alleged use of an automatic telephone dialing system  
 13 ("ATDS"), as defined by the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, which will likely include expert discovery.

14           **i. Bifurcation of Discovery**

15           **Plaintiffs' Position:** Plaintiffs do not believe that bifurcating discovery between class and  
 16 merits issues is the most efficient way to proceed with this matter. Plaintiffs' claims and those of  
 17 the putative class stem from the same common course of conduct—namely, Defendant's (and/or its  
 18 agents') use of an automatic telephone dialing system(s) ("ATDS") to repeatedly call each of them  
 19 without first obtaining their consent. Thus, the information needed to establish whether Defendant  
 20 is actually liable for the alleged conduct will necessarily and significantly overlap with the  
 21 information necessary to establish that the requisites to class certification have been satisfied in this  
 22 case. By way of example, while Plaintiffs need to establish that Defendant used an ATDS to  
 23 ultimately prevail on their claim, they also need to establish that Defendant's use of that ATDS was  
 24 a standardized practice among class members.

25           Moreover, such phased discovery presents the real possibility of creating additional disputes  
 26 between the Parties—e.g., with respect to what information relates to class issues versus merits

1 issues—thus, further delaying the proceedings and perhaps requiring the Court’s intervention where  
2 it would not otherwise be needed. Discovery has already proceeded in several of the consolidated  
3 cases as to both class and merits issues, making the delineation between the two issues all the more  
4 difficult. Accordingly, Plaintiffs propose that all discovery (both class and merits) move forward  
5 together.

6       **Defendant’s Position:** Defendant currently anticipates taking discovery on the following  
7 non-exhaustive list of topics: the adequacy of the Class Representative(s) and consent provided by  
8 Plaintiffs and any and all other purported Class Representative(s), as well as other members of the  
9 putative class.

10      Defendant submits that any discovery to be conducted prior to the filing of any motion for  
11 class certification should be limited to certification issues, *e.g.*, the number of class members,  
12 existence/non-existence of common questions, typicality of claims, etc., and that “merits” discovery  
13 should be deferred until after the Court has ruled on any motion for class certification

14       **C. Form and Disclosure of Electronically Stored Information**

15      The Parties anticipate that a substantial portion of the discovery in this case may involve  
16 electronically stored information (“ESI”). Plaintiffs’ counsel and Defendant’s counsel have  
17 discussed with their respective clients the importance of preserving ESI. The Parties have agreed to  
18 engage in further discussions regarding ESI, including the retention, search, and production of ESI,  
19 the format of such production, and the costs of such production, as the need arises.

20       **D. Issues about Claims of Privilege**

21      The Parties intend to agree to a stipulated protective order addressing the protection of  
22 confidential and privileged information and will provide that stipulated order to the Court for  
23 approval upon finalization.

24

25

26

27

28

1                   **E. Changes and Limitations on Discovery**

2                   The Parties agree that discovery should proceed according to the presumptive limits set by  
 3 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Parties reserve the right to seek a modification of those  
 4 limits based on a showing of good cause.

5                   **II. ITEMS REQUIRED UNDER D. NEV. L.R. 26-1(e)**

6                   This consolidated action was recently transferred to this Court by the Judicial Panel on  
 7 Multidistrict Litigation (the “Panel”). Plaintiffs have yet to file a consolidated class action  
 8 complaint, and intend to do so pursuant to the schedule proposed below. Additionally, certain of the  
 9 plaintiffs in the underlying actions have served Defendant with discovery, to which Defendant has  
 10 not yet responded pursuant to agreements reached prior to transfer and consolidation.

11                  The Parties agree that a change from the default time period in which to complete discovery  
 12 under Local Rule 26-1(e)(1) is appropriate because each of these consolidated cases was brought as  
 13 a putative class action, involves multiple parties, and will involve substantial class discovery and  
 14 merits discovery, including discovery from third-parties. As such, the Parties agreed to stipulate to  
 15 set new deadlines for discovery, as the deadlines previously established in the underlying actions,  
 16 prior to transfer and consolidation, are no longer practicable.

17                  To date, Plaintiff Beell has made her initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1),  
 18 and has served Defendant with a single set of interrogatories, requests for the production of  
 19 documents, and requests to admit. However, this Court has stayed discovery in the underlying *Beell*  
 20 matter pending the ruling by the Panel. Plaintiff Neal has also made her initial disclosures pursuant  
 21 to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), and has also served Defendant with a single set of interrogatories,  
 22 requests for the production of documents, and requests to admit.

23                  The parties to the *Hunter* case participated in an Early Neutral Evaluation Conference  
 24 before the Honorable Magistrate Judge Karen S. Crawford on October 2, 2013. On October 28,  
 25 2013, the parties conducted their Rule 26(f) Conference, and Plaintiff Hunter made her initial  
 26 disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on November 12, 2013. Thereafter, the court held a  
 27  
 28

1 Case Management Conference on November 22, 2013. To date, no formal discovery has been  
 2 served in the *Hunter* case.

3 Plaintiff Brown has made his initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and  
 4 served interrogatories, document requests, and requests for admission on Defendant on October 16,  
 5 2013. Defendant provided initial responses on December 6, 2013 and supplemental responses and  
 6 documents on January 17, 2014. On December 24, 2013, Plaintiff Brown issued a subpoena to  
 7 TelSwitch, Inc., a primary provider of automated dialing services to Defendant, in Stockton,  
 8 California. On or about January 20, 2014, after initial objections, TelSwitch produced several  
 9 thousand pages of documents relating to Defendant and its automated dialing and debt collection  
 10 practices. In addition, Plaintiff Brown took the deposition of a Rule 30(b)(6) witness designated by  
 11 Defendant, Mr. Scott Carol, on January 21, 2014.

12 All Parties anticipate serving additional discovery, as well as third-party subpoenas for  
 13 depositions and the production of documents. Given the number of Parties, as well as the scope of  
 14 this litigation, the Parties agree that deviation from the default discovery period is necessary,  
 15 pursuant to the schedule proposed below:

17 <b>EVENT</b>	18 <b>PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED DEADLINE</b>	19 <b>DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED DEADLINE</b>
20 <i>Deadline to Complete Class and Merits Discovery</i>	21                   April 21, 2015	22                   November 30, 2014 for class discovery, with merits discovery to be completed 180 days from ruling on Motion for Class Certification
23 <i>Deadline to File Consolidated Class Action Complaint</i>	24	25                   June 30, 2014
26 <i>Deadline to Answer Consolidated Class Action Complaint</i>	27	28                   July 21, 2014

1	<i>Deadline to Amend Pleadings and Add Parties</i>	November 14, 2014
2	<i>Plaintiffs' Deadline to Disclose Expert Witnesses Relating to Class Certification</i>	December 12, 2014
3	<i>Defendant's Deadline to Disclose Rebuttal Expert Witnesses Relating to Class Certification</i>	January 9, 2015
4	<i>Deadline for Completion of Expert Discovery Relating to Class Certification</i>	February 6, 2015
5	<i>Plaintiffs' Deadline to File Motion for Class Certification</i>	February 27, 2015
6	<i>Defendant's Deadline to File Opposition to Motion for Class Certification</i>	March 20, 2015
7	<i>Plaintiffs' Deadline to File Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification</i>	April 3, 2015
8	<i>Plaintiffs' Deadline to Disclose Expert Witnesses Relating to Merits Issues</i>	Four (4) weeks following the Court's Order on class certification
9	<i>Defendant's Deadline to Disclose Rebuttal Expert Witnesses Relating to Merits Issues</i>	Eight (8) weeks following the Court's Order on class certification
10	<i>Deadline to File Dispositive Motions</i>	Fourteen (14) weeks following the Court's Order on class certification
11	<i>Deadline for Filing Joint Pretrial Order and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Disclosures</i>	TBD
12	<i>Pretrial Conference</i>	TBD
13	<i>Motion in Limine Hearing Date</i>	TBD
14	<i>Trial to Begin</i>	TBD
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 Dated: June 9, 2014

2 **Respectfully submitted by:**

3 *s/ Benjamin H. Richman* \_\_\_\_\_  
4 Jay Edelson  
jedelson@edelson.com  
5 Rafey S. Balabanian  
rbalabanian@edelson.com  
6 Benjamin H. Richman  
brichman@edelson.com  
7 Christopher L. Dore  
cdore@edelson.com  
EDELSON PC  
8 350 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1300  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
9 Tel: 312.589.6370  
Fax: 312.589.6378

10 Marc P. Cook  
11 cnklawfirm@aol.com  
BAILUS COOK & KELESIS, LTD.  
12 517 South 9th Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
13 Tel: 702.737.7702

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Jessica Beell*

15

16 **Approved by:**

17 *s/ Todd M. Friedman* \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Joshua Swigart  
josh@westcoastlitigation.com  
HYDE & SWIGART  
19 2221 Camino del Rio Street, Suite 101  
San Diego, California 92108  
20 Tel: 619.233.7770  
Fax: 619.297.1022

21 Matthew M. Loker  
22 ml@kazlg.com  
KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC  
23 1075 Court St., Suite 207  
San Luis Obispo, California 93401  
24 Tel: 800.400.6808 x5  
Fax: 800.520.5523

25 Sayed Abbas Kazerounian  
26 ak@kazlg.com  
KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

1 245 Fischer Ave., Suite D1  
1 Costa Mesa, California 92626  
2 Tel: 800.400.6808  
2 Fax: 800.620.5523

3 Todd M. Friedman  
4 tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com  
4 LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, PC  
5 369 S. Doheny Dr., Suite 415  
5 Beverly Hills, California 90211  
6 Tel: 877.206.4741  
6 Fax: 866.633.0228

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Claudette Neal*

8  
9 s/ Douglas J. Campion  
9 Ronald A. Marron  
10 ron@consumersadvocates.com  
10 Alexis M. Wood  
11 alexis@consumersadvocates.com  
11 Kas L. Gallucci  
12 kas@consumersadvocates.com  
12 LAW OFFICES OF RONALD A. MARRON  
13 651 Arroyo Drive  
13 San Diego, California 92103  
14 Tel: 619.696.9006  
14 Fax: 619.564.9006

15 Daniel G. Shay  
16 danielshay@tcpafdcpa.com  
16 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL G. SHAY  
17 409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101B  
17 San Diego, California 92108  
18 Tel: 619.222.7429  
18 Fax: 866.431.3292

19 Douglas J. Campion  
20 doug@djcampion.com  
20 LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS J. CAMPION, APC  
21 409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 303  
21 San Diego, California 92108  
22 Tel: 619.299.2091  
22 Fax 619.858.0034

23 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Nanette Hunter*

24  
25 s/ Jeffrey S. Goldenberg  
25 Christian A. Jenkins  
26 Niroshan M. Wijesooriy  
27 MINILLO & JENKINS Co., LPA  
28

1 2712 Observatory Ave.  
2 Cincinnati, Ohio 45208  
3 Tel: 513.723.1600  
4 Fax: 513.723.1620

5 Jeffrey S. Goldenberg  
6 Robert B. Sherwood  
7 Todd B. Naylor  
8 GOLDENBERG SCHNEIDER, LPA  
9 One W. Fourth Street, 18th Floor  
10 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
11 Tel: 513.345.8291  
12 Fax: 513.345.8294

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Dennis Brown*

14 *s/ Gary J. Lorch*  
15 David Gutke  
16 dgutke@gordonrees.com  
17 Robert E. Schumacher  
18 rschumacher@gordonrees.com  
19 Gordon & Rees LLP  
20 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 100  
21 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
22 Tel: 702.577.9300  
23 Fax: 702.255.2858

24 Gary J. Lorch  
25 glorj@gordonrees.com  
26 Gordon & Rees LLP  
27 633 W. Fifth Street, 52nd Floor  
28 Los Angeles, California 90071  
29 Tel: 213.576.5000

30 *Attorneys for Defendant First National Collection Bureau, Inc.*

31 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

32 

---

33 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

34 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Benjamin H. Richman, an attorney, hereby certify that on June 9, 2014, I served the above and foregoing ***Joint Discovery Plan***, by causing a true and accurate copy of such paper to be filed and transmitted to all counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF electronic filing system.

s/ Benjamin H. Richman